

## Hydrogen Sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) Removal at a Northeastern Dairy Farm Digester using Iron Oxide: Case Study

Department of Environmental Science and Technology, University of Maryland

### Contents:

- Iron Oxide to Reduce H<sub>2</sub>S Concentrations
- H<sub>2</sub>S Removal with Iron Oxide
- Hydrogen Sulfide Scrubber Overview
- Farm Description
- Scrubber System
  - System Description
  - Process Description
- Scrubber Performance
- Economics
  - Capital Costs
  - Operation and Maintenance Costs
- Lessons Learned
- Contact Information

### H<sub>2</sub>S Removal with Iron Oxide

H<sub>2</sub>S removal using iron oxide is one of the most common methods of biogas desulfurization. Iron oxides and hydroxides form insoluble iron sulfides when it reacts with H<sub>2</sub>S. Iron oxide pellets or wood chips impregnated with iron oxide are packed into a scrubbing unit and the biogas is passed through it for desulfurization. The iron oxide reacts with the H<sub>2</sub>S and is converted into iron sulfide. It is estimated that approximately 20 g of sulfur can be removed per 100 g of iron oxide media. Extending the life of the wood chip media after saturation is possible by aeration, which forms elemental sulfur and regenerated iron oxide. The primary drawback of the iron oxide media is the disposal of spent iron sponge, which may be classified as a hazardous waste material. Due to sulfur buildup and loss of

reaction surface area, iron sponge activity is reduced by approximately 33% after each regeneration. Therefore, regeneration is only practical once or twice before new iron sponge is needed.

### Hydrogen Sulfide Scrubber Overview

H <sub>2</sub> S scrubber type	Iron oxide scrubber
Scrubber designer	Homemade
Year commissioned	2014
Herd Size	350
Vessel Size	55 gallons (0.208 m <sup>3</sup> )
Media volume	5.5 ft <sup>3</sup> (0.156 m <sup>3</sup> )
Media material	Iron filings
Vessel material	Plastic drum
Biogas utilization	110 kW engine generator

### Farm Description

- The farm milks ~350 cows
- The digester was commissioned in 2010, with the iron oxide scrubber added in 2014.

### Reason for Scrubber Installation

An iron oxide scrubber was added in 2014 to reduce the quantity of hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) reaching the generator. The farmer wanted a low-cost option to reduce H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations and decided to construct a homemade iron oxide scrubber. Due to relatively low H<sub>2</sub>S levels (603 ± 51 ppm for untreated biogas), a higher capital investment was avoided due to lower H<sub>2</sub>S concentration reductions needed.

## Scrubber system

The scrubber system was a simple system, built with the following components:

### Vessel

The vessel for the scrubber was a 55-gallon blue plastic drum. PVC piping was used for the connection from the digester to the scrubber and then to the engine generator to prevent degradation of metal piping from the H<sub>2</sub>S.

### Media

Initially, the media consisted of scrap iron and steel filings. New media was added in September 2016 (without cleaning out used media). In November 2016, the media was changed again to fresh grade 000 steel wool to determine if the increased surface area of this material would affect scrubber performance.

## Process Description

Biogas flow from the digester was measured before the biogas passed through the scrubber. There were not any condensation traps before the scrubber, as water vapor helps in the reaction of H<sub>2</sub>S with the iron oxide media. The biogas from the digester entered the scrubber from the bottom and flowed through the barrel, passing through the scrubbing media before it exited from the top of the scrubber vessel. When the biogas generator was operating, a regenerative blower (Gast Regenair Model - R5325R-50) installed at the outlet of the scrubber was used to maintain a constant flow rate to the generator.

Within the scrubber reactor, iron oxide (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) in the scrubbing media reacted with the H<sub>2</sub>S in the biogas and formed an iron sulfide (FeS). Eventually, the media becomes saturated with iron sulfide and should be replaced with fresh media for continual H<sub>2</sub>S removal. Once the iron oxide reacts with H<sub>2</sub>S, the media will turn black, allowing for a visual gauge of media saturation.




**Figure 1. Iron oxide scrubber**

## Scrubber Performance

Scrubber performance was monitored over the course of six months (August 2016 to January 2017).

A Siemens Ultramat 23 Biogas Analyzer was used to measure the H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations before and after the oxide scrubber. The results are shown in Figure 2. During the monitoring period, the scrubbing media was changed twice to induce a treatment effect. The media replacement events are identified in Figure 2.



**Figure 2. Biogas hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) concentrations before and after scrubber media replacement.**

Performance Summary:

	Overall	After 2 <sup>nd</sup> media replacement
Pre-Scrubber H <sub>2</sub> S Concentration (ppm)	603 ± 51	66 ± 13
Post-Scrubber H <sub>2</sub> S Concentration (ppm)	585 ± 50	41 ± 9
H <sub>2</sub> S Removal Efficiency (%)	3.0%	37.9%
Daily H <sub>2</sub> S removal (lbs/day)	0.056	0.0042
Engine-Generator Capacity Factor	0.2	0.0*

\*The generator was not operated during this period, and the biogas was flared after passing through the scrubber

In the first three months of the study period, no major differences were seen between the hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) concentrations before and after passing through the scrubber. The average H<sub>2</sub>S in the pre-scrubbed biogas was 740 ± 53 ppm, with a post-scrubbed average of 719 ± 52 ppm. In fact, certain data points in the pre-scrubbed H<sub>2</sub>S concentration within the first 3 months were slightly lower than the post-scrubbed H<sub>2</sub>S concentration in the biogas. This could be due to the measuring sensitivity of the instrument, but it is also possible that the saturated media was contributing to the higher post-scrubbed H<sub>2</sub>S concentration due to volatilization of the H<sub>2</sub>S from the saturated media.

The scrubbing media was changed twice in the following three months to induce a treatment effect. The first media change occurred in November 2016. This first media change showed a negligible treatment effect and therefore the media was changed again three weeks later. It was noticed that with the second media change, which consisted of fresh grade 000 steel wool and an increased surface area, a cleaner product after scrubbing was shown, with a scrubber outflow H<sub>2</sub>S concentration of 41 ± 9 ppm compared to 66 ± 13 ppm before scrubbing (Figure 2). The second media replacement performed adequately for a period of five weeks (December 16, 2016 to

January 25, 2017). The average H<sub>2</sub>S removal efficiency for this period was 37.9%. It should be noted that flow rate of the biogas varied from 1769 scf/hr in the Summer (June – Oct 2016) to 74 scf/hr in the Winter (Jan – Feb 2017). The total volume of biogas passing through the scrubber before the media change (Aug – Nov 2016, 106 days) was 4.2 million ft<sup>3</sup> (119 million liters) with 8.39 lbs of H<sub>2</sub>S removed from the biogas through the scrubber.

The biogas had a low average pre-scrubbing H<sub>2</sub>S concentration of 66 ppm during the Winter period (December 16, 2016 to January 25, 2017), which could be due to lower digester temperatures, as the digester was unheated. After the 2<sup>nd</sup> media replacement, 64,353 ft<sup>3</sup> (1.8 million liters) of biogas flowed through the scrubber from December 20, 2016 to Jan 26, 2017 (36 days). The substantially lower biogas flow volume through the scrubber during the Winter period can be attributed to the unheated nature of the digester and the breakdown of the generator that caused the regenerative blower (used to maintain a constant gas flow rate) to be shut down. During the Winter period, the scrubbing media removed 0.15 lbs of H<sub>2</sub>S.

The volume of the scrubbing unit was 7.4 ft<sup>3</sup> (without media addition) and the residence time of the biogas in the scrubber varied from 0.25 min (Summer) to 6 min (Winter). It is likely that the scrubber was undersized for the volume of biogas passing through it, especially during the Summer and thus, required more frequent media replacements for adequate desulfurization of the biogas. A larger scrubber volume that allowed for sufficient contact time with the media may have resulted in higher scrubbing efficiencies as well. Commercially available iron oxide media (require 1 – 15 min residence time) may also have been more efficient at removing H<sub>2</sub>S, at lower residence times.

The generator produced 47,158 kWh in approximately four months of runtime (131

days). The generator capacity factor was difficult to estimate, as the generator was not run continuously every day. Typically, it was switched off during the night or had periods of downtime during the day. Assuming 12 hours of operation per day, the capacity factor was calculated as 0.27. The low capacity factor can be attributed to the variability in the generator runtime. On December 12, 2016, the generator stopped working due to an issue unrelated to H<sub>2</sub>S. For the remainder of the study, the generator remained un-operational.

## **Economics**

### ***Capital Costs***

The total capital cost of the scrubber system was approximately \$525 based on the reactor vessel and piping: (\$500) and initial scrubber media (\$25).

### **Operation and Maintenance Costs**

#### ***Labor***

The scrubber required little maintenance due to its simplicity. All the maintenance was conducted by the farm owner, and the labor costs were considered negligible. Approximately one quart of oil was added to the generator every other day. Additionally, scrap iron was added by the farmer once during the study. The media replacement to grade 000 steel wool was done by the researcher and took approximately 2-3 hours to remove the used media and replace with the new media. With this system, labor may be more significant to the farmer, depending on maintenance time devoted to changing media. The frequency of changing the media is dependent on the size of the scrubber compared to the volume of biogas moving through the scrubber and the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>S in the produced biogas.

#### Replacement Parts/Supplies

Parts and supplies for use in maintaining the equipment:

- 55 gallon drum oil (220 quarts):

- ~\$450/year: One quart of oil added to the generator every other day (183 quarts/year)
- Replacement media:
  - \$650/year with original iron filings based on 26 changings per year
  - \$960/year with grade 000 steel wool based on 12 changings per year

Total annual cost to own and operate the scrubber:

- With iron filings: \$1,100
- With grade 000 steel wool: \$1,410

## **Lessons Learned**

The original media used in the scrubber was rusted scrap metal and was not a commercially available iron oxide media for H<sub>2</sub>S scrubbing. In the first three months of monitoring, minimal treatment effect was observed, and a decision was made to change the media to a commercially available grade 000 steel wool. Once the scrubber material was changed, a treatment effect was observed after the second media replacement. It was shown that scrap metal is not an ideal media for H<sub>2</sub>S removal because it did not significantly decrease H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations in the biogas. When media was changed to steel wool, a treatment effect was observed. Further tests using commercially available iron oxide pellets could not be conducted due to the generator failure and subsequent intermittent flow of biogas through the scrubber.

Due to the digester being unheated, low concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>S during the Winter prevented us from obtaining a treatment effect for higher H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations (740 ± 53 ppm) seen in the Summer. More frequent media changes may be necessary when H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations are higher, and the biogas production value is higher, as the frequency of scrubbing media changes depends on the total concentration of H<sub>2</sub>S passing through the scrubber. Biogas flow and H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations vary widely in the system throughout the year, as

the digester is unheated and fluctuates with ambient temperature throughout the year.

Furthermore, the low scrubber volume (7.4 ft<sup>3</sup>, media volume - 5.5 ft<sup>3</sup>) in comparison to the large volume of biogas (4.3 million ft<sup>3</sup>) passing through the scrubber resulted in low residence times. Inefficient scrubbing media coupled with the low residence times substantially affected scrubber performance. Even though the scrap iron media was able to remove 8.39 lbs of H<sub>2</sub>S during the Summer period, the change in the H<sub>2</sub>S concentration (ppm) in the biogas after treatment was negligible. Iron oxide media specially prepared for biogas desulfurization and an adequately sized scrubber that allowed for >1 min residence time would have substantially improved the scrubber performance.

**This data is from NE SARE grant [LNE15-341]**



### Contact Information

- Stephanie Lansing, PhD, Associate Professor, Waste to Energy, Environmental Science and Technology, University of Maryland, Phone: 301-405-1197, Email: [slansing@umd.edu](mailto:slansing@umd.edu)
- Gary Felton, PhD, Associate Professor, Environmental Science and Technology, University of Maryland Extension, Phone: 301-405-8039, Email: [gfelton@umd.edu](mailto:gfelton@umd.edu)

Margaret A. Hines, Abhinav Choudhury, Gary Felton and Stephanie A. Lansing

This publication, Title (FS-xxxx), is a series of publications of the University of Maryland Extension and the Department of Environmental Science and Technology. The information presented has met UME peer review standards, including internal and external technical review. For more information on related publications and programs, visit <http://www.enst.umd.edu/>. Please visit <http://extension.umd.edu/> to find out more about Extension programs in Maryland.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, University of Maryland, College Park, and local governments. Cheng-i Wei, Director of University of Maryland Extension.

The University of Maryland is equal opportunity. The University's policies, programs, and activities are in conformance with pertinent Federal and State laws and regulations on nondiscrimination regarding race, color, religion, age, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, marital or parental status, or disability. Inquiries regarding compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; Title IX of the Educational Amendments; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990; or related legal requirements should be directed to the Director of Human Resources Management, Office of the Dean, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Symons Hall, College Park, MD 20742.